

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

IN RE: GENERIC PHARMACEUTICALS PRICING ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL 2724 16-md-2724 HON. CYNTHIA M. RUFE
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: INDIRECT RESELLER PLAINTIFF ACTIONS	CIVIL ACTIONS:
<i>West Val Pharmacy, et al. v. Actavis, et al.</i>	17-cv-3822 / 16-PP-27243
<i>West Val Pharmacy, et al. v. Actavis, et al.</i>	18-cv-2533
<i>Reliable Pharmacy, et al. v. Actavis, et al.</i>	19-cv-6044

**[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING THE AMENDMENT TO, NOTICE OF,
ALLOCATION PLAN FOR, AND FINAL FAIRNESS HEARING DATE FOR THE
IRPS' BRECKENRIDGE SETTLEMENT**

AND NOW, this ___ day of _____ 2026, upon review and consideration of Indirect Reseller Plaintiffs' ("IRPs") Motion for an Order Approving the Amendment To, Notice of, Allocation Plan for, and Final Fairness Hearing Date for the IRPs' Breckenridge Settlement [MDL Dkt. No. ___] (the "Motion"), it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion is **GRANTED** as follows:

1. This Order hereby incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement between IRPs and Defendant Breckenridge Pharmaceutical Inc. ("Settling Defendant") and all capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

2. The Court approves the adjustment of the Settlement Class definition previously approved, by replacing “(d) all hospitals owned or operated by government entities” with “(d) all hospitals owned or operated by federal government entities and any other government entities named as parties in this MDL or in related actions styled *Connecticut, et al. v. Sandoz, et al.*, No. 3:20-cv-00802 (D. Conn.), *Connecticut, et al. v. Aurobindo, et al.*, No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.), and *Connecticut, et al. v. Teva, et al.*, 3:19-cv-00710 (D. Conn.)”

3. The Court finds that the proposed claim form (attached as Exhibit 1 to the Hudson Declaration in support of the Allocation Plan Motion), and the proposed method of dissemination of notice to file the claim form as laid out in the Allocation Plan Motion satisfy the requirements of Rule 23(e) and due process, are otherwise fair and reasonable, and therefore are approved.

4. Settlement Class Counsel, through Epiq, shall cause the short form notice substantially in the form attached in Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Cameron R. Azari, Esq. Regarding Notice Program to be disseminated within 30 days following the date of the entry of this Order, and will, as quickly as possible, update the settlement website with the claim form.

5. The plan of allocation is approved pending final review during the fairness hearing.

6. Epiq Systems, Inc. is designated to be the Settlement Administrator and Claims Administrator for the Settlement.

7. A fairness hearing on final approval of the IRP/Breckenridge Settlement shall be set for _____.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.